PATENT COOPERATION TEATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:				PCT	
	see form f	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 bis. 1) e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
	cant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/020736			International filing date (d 29.06.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.07.2003
			both national classification a 13/10, C07D413/14, C		22, A61P31 <i>l</i> 04
Appl MEI		•			
1 . 2 . 3 .	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain descriptions on the international application Box No. VIII Certain descriptions on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of the submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Johnson, C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8287





International application No. PCT/US2004/020736

		`				
_	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1.		Vith regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ne language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. typ	e of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. form	nat of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.				

International application No. PCT/US2004/020736

_	Box	No. II	Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3.	Add	itional c	bservations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/US2004/020736

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
□ the e	the entire international application,				
⊠ claim	claims Nos. 9-11				
because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-11 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
see	separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
⊠ no in	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 9-11				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
the v	vritten form	☐ has not been furnished			
		☐ does not comply with the standard			
the c	omputer readable form	☐ has not been furnished			
		☐ does not comply with the standard			
□ the to	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
☐ See	separate sheet for further	details			

International application No. PCT/US2004/020736

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No:

: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/020736

III. Non-establishment of opinion

Claims 9-11 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

V. Reasoned statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO03/048136 D2: WO03/027083

Novelty

The compounds of D1 differ from the present compounds because in this document the cyclopropyl ring cannot be fused to a second ring. The compounds of D2 differ because the fused cyclopropyl ring is attached to the Ar/HAr ring via the 5-membered ring.

Claims 1-11 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

D1 and D2 describe compounds with antibacterial activity. The technical problem underlying the present application appears to be the provision of further compounds with this activity. It would not be obvious from D1 or D2 that the presently claimed compounds would solve this problem.

Claims 1-11 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial applicability

Claims 1-8 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

No unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States for assessing whether present claims 9-11 are industrially applicable. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. For example, the EPO does not consider claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment to be industrially applicable, but allows claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

VIII. Certain observations

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/020736

The term prodrug is not considered to define the matter for which protection is sought in a clear manner as required by Article 6 PCT. There are many possible functional groups present in the compound of formula I. There is no information in the application or the prior art as to which functional groups in which positions may be derivatised to give compounds having the attributes of prodrugs (i.e. compounds which are inactive per se, and which are broken down in the body to give active compounds). The skilled man would only be able to ascertain whether particular compounds were within the scope of claims 1 or 7 by performing in vivo tests, which is considered to go beyond the routine experimentation to be reasonably expected of him.

Claim 6 is not clear because the definition of Y is missing. Furthermore, as no definition of Y has been found anywhere in the application, this claim has only been considered insofar as Y is the same as A in claim 1, i.e. claim 6 has only been considered insofar as it is a dependent claim of claim 1.